

Gates, Nina

233538

**From:** Fitzgerald [fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:44 PM  
**To:** Commissioner.Mitchell  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Commisioner Mitchell:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

RECEIVED

PSC SC  
MAIL / DMS

## Gates, Nina

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:45 PM  
**To:** Commissioner.Hamilton  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Commissioner Hamilton:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

## Gates, Nina

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:45 PM  
**To:** Commissioner.Fleming  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Commissioner Fleming:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

## Duke, Daphne

---

**From:** Purvis, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:20 AM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne  
**Subject:** FW: Duke Energy

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [mailto:fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:40 PM  
**To:** Chairman.Howard  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Chairman Howard:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

## Duke, Daphne

---

**From:** Purvis, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:19 AM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne  
**Subject:** FW: Duke Energy

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [mailto:fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:42 PM  
**To:** ViceChairman.Wright  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Vice-Chairman Wright:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

## Duke, Daphne

---

**From:** Purvis, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:19 AM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne  
**Subject:** FW: Duke Energy

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [mailto:fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:46 PM  
**To:** Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Commissioner Hall:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 million line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601

## Duke, Daphne

---

**From:** Purvis, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:19 AM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne  
**Subject:** FW: Duke Energy

---

**From:** Fitzgeraldal [mailto:fitzgeraldal@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:47 PM  
**To:** Commissioner.Whitfield  
**Subject:** Duke Energy

Commissioner Whitfield:

The Duke Energy application for substantial rate hikes in electric power rates to residential ,commerical and industrial customers is most surprising for several reasons.

1. Duke received a substantial rate hike in 2010.
2. SC PSC has already approved (docket # 2011-3-E) a new fuel factor rate effective October 2011for Duke Energy.
3. Docket # 2011-420-E "approval of rider 3" already provides for \$20.732 million in residential recovery and \$14.697 in non-residential recovery.

Duke Energy webpage announced that Duke will raise \$36 million and guarantee a \$10 milion line of credit for the Democratic Party National convention in 2012. Are Duke customers paying for this? Wouldn't it be better if a DEC Political Action Committee (PAC) engaged in this rather than DEC itself?

Best Regards,  
Albert E. Fitzgerald  
516 Cleveland Street  
Greenville, SC 29601